#9

COMPLETE

Collector:	Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started:	Wednesday, July 02, 2025 1:17:12 AM
Last Modified:	Wednesday, July 02, 2025 2:28:38 AM
Time Spent:	01:11:26
IP Address:	196.188.125.239

Page 2: General Data of the Small Grants Programme

Q1

Name of SGP Country Programme

	SGP Country Programme
Please select your SGP Country Programme	**Ethiopia**

Q2

Please provide information on GEF-funded SGP OP6 projects committed during the reporting period. (Please enter 0 if none. Enter integer only. Do not enter letters, commas, spaces, periods, or any other symbol.)

Total number of new GEF CORE OP6 projects committed in the reporting period	**0**
Total amount of GEF CORE OP6 funding committed (in USD)	**0**
Total number of new GEF STAR OP6 projects committed in the reporting period	**0**
Total amount of GEF STAR OP6 funding committed (in USD)	**0**
Total amount of OP6 project level in kind co-financing (in USD)	**0**
Total amount of OP6 project level in cash co-financing (in USD)	**0**

Q3

Please provide information on new GEF-funded SGP OP7 projects committed during the reporting period. (Please enter 0 if none. Enter integer only. Do not enter letters, commas, spaces, periods, or any other symbol.)

Total number of new GEF CORE OP7 projects committed in the reporting period	**0**
Total amount of GEF CORE OP7 funding committed (in USD)	**0**
Total number of new GEF STAR OP7 projects committed in the reporting period	**0**
Total amount of GEF STAR OP7 funding committed (in USD)	**0**
Total amount of OP7 project level in kind co-financing (in USD)	**0**
Total amount of OP7 project level in cash co-financing (in USD)	**0**

Q4

Number of SGP GEF-funded projects under implementation during the reporting period July 2024 to June 2025 (including all operational phase ongoing projects). Please enter 0 if none.

Number of projects under implementation	**6**

Q5

Country-level Resource Mobilization: Please provide information on NEW country programme level co-financing secured and delivered through SGP (e.g. where an agreement has been signed, memorandum agreed, project document signed, etc. for grant funds and/or non-grant activities).Note: Do not enter information of GEF funding such as STAR and Core. Do not enter information on project level co-financing, as this is already or will be accounted for by the database. Do not enter any global programme level co-financing such as COMDEKS, CBA, ICCA-GSI, DFAT, etc. as this is already accounted for by CPMT. Enter only numbers; do not enter letters, commas, spaces, periods, or any other symbol.

Name of Donor and Partnership 1	**0**
Total amount allocated to SGP by Donor/Partnership 1 (in USD)	**0**
Grant funds provided by Donor/Partnership 1 (in USD)	**0**
Non-grant funds provided by Donor/Partnership 1 (in USD)	**0**
Name of Donor and Partnership 2	**0**
Total amount allocated to SGP by Donor/Partnership 2 (in USD)	**0**
Grant funds provided by Donor/Partnership 2 (in USD)	**0**
Non-grant funds provided by Donor/Partnership 2 (in USD)	**0**
Name of Donor and Partnership 3	**0**
Total amount allocated to SGP by Donor/Partnership 3 (in USD)	**0**
Grant funds provided by Donor/Partnership 3 (in USD)	**0**
Non-grant funds provided by Donor/Partnership 3 (in USD)	**0**
Name of Donor and Partnership 4	**0**
Total amount allocated to SGP by Donor/Partnership 4 (in USD)	**0**
Grant funds provided by Donor/Partnership 4 (in USD)	**0**
Non-grant funds provided by Donor/Partnership 4 (in USD)	**0**

Q6

SGP as Delivery Mechanism: Please describe key results achieved from any ONGOING donor/partner-funded programmers for which SGP has served as a delivery mechanism. (Please enter 0 if none)Note: Please include results from country-level partnerships ONLY (e.g. TRAC funded, other GEF funded projects/programmes). Kindly do NOT include results from global level partnerships, such as COMDEKS, CBA, ICCA-GSI etc.

Non-GEF-Funded Programmes (200 words)	**0**
GEF-Funded Programmes (Examples: FSPs, MSPs, other) (200 words)	**0**

Page 3: Results achieved by GEF-funded projects on Core Global Environmental Indicators

Q7

Core Global Environmental Indicators: Please report on the following Core Indicators that SGP aligned to under GEF-7. (Please enter 0 if none. Enter integer only. Do not enter letters, commas, spaces, periods, or any other symbol.)Note: With SGP's rolling modality, please note all GEF projects completed during the reporting period (July 1st, 2024- June 30th, 2025) can be reported towards core indicator results. It is important to note that the indicators are NOT specific to OP7 projects only. Please note only "direct results due to SGP project intervention" are to be noted under core indicators.

Marine protected areas under improved management effectiveness (hectares)	**0**
Area of degraded agricultural lands restored (hectares)	**5450**
Area of landscapes under improved management to benefit biodiversity (hectares)	**5450**
Area of landscapes under sustainable land management in production systems (hectares)	**5450**
Area of marine habitat under improved practices to benefit biodiversity (hectares; excluding protected areas)	**0**
Solid and liquid Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) and POPs containing materials and products removed or disposed (tons)	**83**
Number of direct beneficiaries as co-benefit of SGP intervention	**21086**
Number of females	**8435**
Number of males	**12651**

Page 4: Results achieved by GEF-funded projects on Biodiversity

Q8

Biodiversity: Total number of GEF projects completed. (Enter 0 if none.)

Biodiversity, OP6	**0**
Biodiversity, OP7	**2**

Q9

Biodiversity: Please describe the results of one or two projects that were completed during the reporting period. For results, please reflect on 1- ‘what’ and ‘how’ it was achieved,2- ‘who’ was it achieved for and with, and 3- ‘where’ was it achieved.Please refer to the attached guidance as needed. Kindly include SGP database project numbers. (300 words maximum)

Afromontane Biodiversity Conservation in Ethiopia (ABCE) has implemented a project titled “Enhancing agroforestry and apiary management for biodiversity conservation in Menzi Guassa district North Shoa zone of Amhara Regional State with project ID of ETH/SGP/OP7/Y4/CORE/BIA/2023/05.

The project aimed to benefit local community in the Guassa-Anaz highland with environmentally friendly agricultural practices, which including Bee Keeping and Apple plantation, to reduce impacts of humans on the biodiversity and key endangered species through livestock grazing and unsustainable natural resource use from the protected area. The project focused on promoting agroforestry and apiary which have high economic value and same time benefit conservation of natural resources and play key role in mitigating climate change. In this regard, ABCE successfully implemented the project by providing 7,280 apple seedlings for 759 beneficiaries of which 364 were females and 359 were males.The apple seedlings were from the three apple breeds which were known to adapt the highlands of Ethiopia such as Anna, Golden and Delicious species. In the same way the project provided 240 hives for 120 benefices of which 63 were females and 57 were males.

In order to ensure the sustainability of the project results, the members of the community have received capacity building training of different practical activities in bee keeping management including queen transfer and transitional bee hive construction. As a result,due to high economic value of apple plantation, many of the farmers have changed from the existing agricultural practices: crop production and livestock husbandry which have critical impacts on the natural habitat and biodiversity of the Ethiopian highland to Apple plantation that increased the vegetation cover, minimizes soil erosion, and contributes to climate change mitigation. Similarly, the Bee keeping has a very high commercial value, and can be practiced in a very limited space with no adverse impacts on the habitat and biodiversity.

Q10

Biodiversity: Please provide information related to Protected Areas (PAs) that were positively influenced through SGP projects completed.

Number of PAs	**0**
Hectares of PAs	**0**
Name of PAs	**0**

Q11

Biodiversity: Please provide information related to Indigenous Peoples and Community Conserved Territories and Areas (ICCAs) that were positively influenced through SGP completed projects (please indicate “0” if not applicable)

Number of ICCAs	**0**
Hectares of ICCAs	**0**
Name of ICCAs	**0**

Q12

Biodiversity: Please indicate the number of sustainably produced biodiversity and agrobiodiversity products supported through SGP projects completed during the reporting period

Number of product types	**2**
Name of product types	**Fruit, Bees**

Q13

Biodiversity: Please provide information on the number of significant species (for example, species referred to in IUCN Red List or other national list of threatened species, where applicable) with maintained or improved conservation status through SGP completed projects (please indicate “0” if not applicable).

Number of species	**3**
Name of species	**Anna, Golden, delicious**

Q14

Biodiversity: Please provide information on landscapes and seascapes that are under improved community conservation and sustainable use through projects completed in the reporting period.

Number of target landscapes/seascapes under improved community conservation and sustainable use	**2**
Hectares of target landscapes/seascapes under improved community conservation and sustainable use	**21086**

Page 5: Results achieved by GEF-funded projects on Climate Change Mitigation

Q15

Climate Change Mitigation: Total number of GEF projects completed. (Enter 0 if none. Please do not include climate change adaptation projects, as they are not funded by GEF.)

Climate Change Mitigation, OP6	**0**
Climate Change Mitigation, OP7	**7**

Q16

Climate Change Mitigation: Please describe the results of one or two projects that were completed during the reporting period. For results, please reflect on 1- 'what' and 'how' it was achieved, 2- 'who' was it achieved for and with, and 3- 'where' was it achieved.Please refer to the attached guidance for details. Kindly include SGP database project numbers. (300 words maximum).

SOS Sahel Ethiopia implemented a BIA Programme, which was a collaboration between GEF SGP and the Mountain Partnership of the Food and Agriculture Organization. The title of the project was "Gender Sensitive Employment through Climate Smart and Value-added Highland Barley Farming with the project ID of ETH/SGP/OP7/Y4/CORE/BIA/2023/03. The main objective of the project was to increase the barley productivity and income of the vulnerable women by climate smart agriculture and Value-added highland barley farming practice that contributing to climate change adaptation and mitigation. The project Introduced climate smart barley farming techniques by providing improved barley variety called Ebon that resistant to drought, pest and disease. In this regard, the productivity of barley has been increased from 35 quintals to 38 quintals per hectare. The selection of improved barley varieties was conducted in consultation with the district government agriculture office and Agricultural research center including seed enterprises. The Women social Grain Bank Association Members engaged in local barley food processing and post-harvest handling technique. Then, 68 women are benefited from the project engaged in barley value adding processes like roasted barley locally known as Kolo,roasted barley flour for Beso and barley flour used for bakery. Barley Climate smart farming including Organic farming practices and chemical free storage facilities positively contributes to the climate change impacts by reducing the greenhouse gases emissions and enhancing resilience of the community. SOS Sahel Ethiopia and Women Social Grain Bank Association have been committed to building on the lessons learned and replicating the best practices across the Bale Eco Region. SOS Sahel Ethiopia has disseminated learning and promoted the replication of the best practices through a number of channels, including multi-stakeholder taskforces from Woreda level to Regional Level. These coordination platforms offer the opportunity for the project partners to share key successes and learning with high level stakeholders

Q17

Climate Change Mitigation: Please indicate if your Country Programme completed any projects during the reporting period that addressed community-level barriers to deployment of low-GHG technologies

Yes

Q18

Climate Change Mitigation: Please indicate the number of completed projects for each type:

Renewable energy - number of completed projects	**7**
Energy efficiency - number of completed projects	**7**
Sustainable transport - number of completed projects	**0**
Conservation/enhancement of carbon stocks - number of completed projects	**7**

Q19

Climate Change Mitigation: Hectares of forests and non-forest lands with restoration and enhancement of carbon stocks through completed projects.

Hectares of forests and non-forest lands	**21400**

Q20

Climate Change Mitigation: Typologies of community-oriented, locally adapted energy access solutions with successful demonstrations or scaling up and replication during the reporting period for completed projects. Examples of typologies include new/modified biogas technology, locally developed energy-efficient stoves, innovative uses of solar/wind energy (e.g., for desalination), etc.

Number of typologies	**3**
Name of typologies	**Biogas, energy saving cook stoves, and Solar panel**

Q21

Climate Change Mitigation: Number of households achieving energy access co-benefits (ecosystem effects, income, health, and others)

Number of households	**2500**

Page 6: Results achieved by GEF-funded projects on Land Degradation

Q22

Land Degradation: Total number of GEF projects completed. (Enter 0 if none.)

Land degradation, OP6	**0**
Land degradation, OP7	**9**

Q23

Land Degradation: Please describe the results of one or two projects that were completed during the reporting period. For results, please reflect on 1- ‘what’ was achieved, 2- ‘how’ was it achieved, ‘who’ was it achieved for and with, and 3- ‘where’ was it achieved.Please refer to the attached guidance for details. Kindly include SGP database project numbers. (300 words maximum)

Ethiopian Heritage Trust organization has implemented a project titled Community-Based Conservation of Degraded Lands & Sustainable Land Management for Community Livelihood Improvement in North Shoa Zone Ankober district of Amhara Reginal State with the Project ID of ETH/SGP/OP7/Y3/STAR/LD/2022/36. The overall objective of the project was to conserve and restore degraded lands and natural resources in Ankober district. In this regard, the project supported by SGP focused on the rehabilitation and restoration of the degraded land and natural resources through soil and water conservation activities, planting indigenous trees, disseminating high-value fruit trees, and engaging the local communities in income generating activities to enhance their livelihoods and reduce the pressure imposed on the natural resources. Accordingly,1000 apple and 500 coffee seedlings were distributed to 37 local model farmers. The Local communities, governments and the stakeholders have played a significant role in the successful achievement of the project objectives. Many efforts have been made by the local community to improve the environmental quality, enhance the soil fertility, reduce soil erosion and land degradation through physical soil and water conservation practices in Ankober-Zego area. As a result, total of 1.6 km of soil and water conservation structures were constructed to restore the degraded land and reduce soil erosion in the areas. In addition, during the project period,3800 seedlings of indigenous trees were planted in the degraded areas of the project's site with active participation of local communities. The project provided capacity building trainings to 217 local communities, natural resource experts, development agents and local administrators. In a total, about 313 community members have been benefited from the implementation of the project participating in diversified income generating activities. It was imperative that sustaining collaboration, and community engagement to ensure the project's long-term success, and to replicate project activities adjacent kebeles

Q24

Land Degradation: Please indicate the total number of community members with improved actions or practices that reduce negative impacts on land uses through projects that were completed during the reporting period.

Number of community members with improved actions or practices that reduce negative impacts on land uses	**15860**

Q25

Land Degradation: Please indicate the quantitative results under improved agricultural, land, or water management practices through projects that were completed during the reporting period

Number of community members improved agricultural, land, or water management practices	**15860**
Number of hectares	**3070**

Q26

Land degradation: Please provide the number of farmer leaders involved in successful demonstrations of agroecological practices (i.e., incorporating measures to reduce farm-based emissions and enhance resilience to climate change) through projects that were completed during the reporting period.

Number of farmer leaders	**0**

Q27

Land degradation: Number of farmer organizations, groups, or networks disseminating improved climate-smart agroecological practices through projects that were completed during the reporting period

Number of farmer organizations	**0**

Page 7: Results achieved by GEF-funded projects on Sustainable Forest Management

Q28

Sustainable Forest Management: Total number of GEF projects completed. (Enter 0 if none.)

Sustainable forest management, OP6	**0**
Sustainable forest management, OP7	**7**

Q29

Sustainable Forest Management: Please describe the results of one or two projects that were completed during the reporting period. For results, please reflect on 1- 'what' was achieved, 2- 'how' was it achieved, 'who' was it achieved for and with, and 3- 'where' was it achieved.Please refer to the attached guidance for details. Kindly include SGP database project numbers. (300 words maximum)

The project titled Forest Landscape Restoration and Conservation with Livelihood Enhancement with the project ID of ETH/SGP/OP7/Y3/STAR/LD/2022/24 has been implemented by the Society for Forest Landscape Restoration and conservation in Oromia National State, East Showa zone, Adama District Dongore Dhenku Rural Kebele. The primary objective of the projects was to scale up forest protection, landscape restoration, and conservation while enhancing the livelihoods of the local community. The project has protected and conserved over 150 hectares of forest land that resulted in the restoration and preservation of biodiversity. Regarding the status of protected project sites, 165 hectares of land are by now fully rehabilitated,restored,protected,and grasses are growing, trees are bearing fruit, and wild animals are inhabiting the area. At the moment, the forest land is fully covered by dense vegetation and has become home for different faunas and floras, reducing flooding on 150 hectares of forest land. Grasses have grown grasses which have been harvested and are being used for covering house roofing and animal feeding. The results are changes on ecosystem of the project: the forest land deeply restored and grown grasses are being fed by the animals, different tree species from the naturally existing and planted seedlings are increasing in number and quality (size and height) and becoming big trees while some are bearing fruits; the different previously migrated wild animal species and birds population has been increasing; the scenery has become so green and beautiful. It contributed to soil erosion and land degradation reduction and enrichment of soil fertility, global warming reduction via greenhouse gases emission reduction, conservation or restoration of wild animals and plant species. The technical skills gained has enabled them to generate local incomes so that the livelihoods of the CBO members have been improved through self–employment, income generation, and better employment opportunities

Q30

Sustainable Forest Management: Please indicate the hectares of forest and non-forest lands with restoration and enhancement through projects that were completed during the reporting period.

Hectares of forest and non-forest lands	**14057**

Page 8: Results achieved by GEF-funded projects on International Waters

Q31

International Waters: Total number of GEF projects completed. (Enter 0 if none.)

International waters, OP6	**0**
International waters, OP7	**0**

Q32

International Waters: Please describe the results of one or two projects that were completed during the reporting period. For results, please reflect on 1- 'what' was achieved, 2- 'how' was it achieved, 'who' was it achieved for and with, and 3- 'where' was it achieved.Please refer to the attached guidance for details. Kindly include SGP database project numbers. (300 words maximum)

Respondent skipped this question

Q33

International Waters: Please provide information on transboundary waters (such as the Nile River, Niger River, Mediterranean Sea, etc.) or Strategic Action Programs (SAPs) for projects completed during the reporting period (if applicable).

Name of transboundary waterbody/SAP 1	**0**
Number of projects contributing to objectives of waterbody/SAP 1	**0**
Name of transboundary waterbody/SAP 2	**0**
Number of projects contributing to objectives of waterbody/SAP 2	**0**
Name of transboundary waterbody/SAP 3	**0**
Number of projects contributing to objectives of waterbody/SAP 3	**0**

Q34

International Waters: In addition to the above transboundary waters, please provide information on seascapes (marine parks, marine sanctuaries, gulfs, bays, etc.) and inland freshwater landscapes (lakes, rivers, underground waters, etc.) whose management was improved by SGP projects that were completed during the reporting period.

Name of seascapes/inland freshwater landscapes	**0**
Number of seascapes/inland freshwater landscapes	**0**

Q35

International Waters: Please provide quantitative results produced by the international waters portfolio of completed projects during the reporting period. (Enter only numbers; no letters, commas, spaces, periods or any other symbol.)

Tons of land-based pollution (such as solid waste, sewage, waste water, and agricultural waste etc.) avoided, reduced or prevented from entering the waterbodies	**0**
Hectares of marine/coastal areas or fishing grounds sustainably managed through projects' intervention (such as hectares of mangroves replanted, seagrass protected, coral reefs rehabilitated etc.)	**0**
Hectares of river/lake basins applying sustainable management practices through projects' intervention	**0**
Hectares of seascapes covered under improved community conservation and sustainable use management systems	**0**

Page 9: Results achieved by GEF-funded projects on Chemicals and Waste

Q36

Chemicals and Waste (including Mercury): Total number of GEF projects completed during the reporting period. (Enter 0 if none.)

OP6 (Total Chemicals and Waster projects including Mercury Management Projects)	**0**
OP7 (Total Chemicals and Waster projects including Mercury Management Projects)	**2**
Mercury: Number of mercury management projects completed, OP6	**2**
Mercury: Number of mercury management projects completed, OP7	**2**

Q37

Chemicals and Waste: Please describe the results of one or two projects that were completed during the reporting period. For results, please reflect on 1- ‘what’ was achieved, 2- ‘how’ was it achieved, ‘who’ was it achieved for and with, and 3- ‘where’ was it achieved.Please refer to the attached guidance for details. Kindly include SGP database project numbers. (300 words maximum)

PETCO Ethiopia intended to minimize the environmental impact of post-consumer PET (plastic bottles) on the Ethiopian landscape through growing the collection & recycling of PET bottles after consumer use; supporting existing & encourage new collection and recycling initiatives; and promoting a strong focus on public & consumer education & awareness programs. PETCO Ethiopia has implemented a project known as Solid Waste collection and youth Economic Empowerment in Hawassa city with the project ID of ETH/SGP/OP7/Y3/STAR/LD/2022/24.The overall objective of this project was mitigation of the negative consequence of the post consumed plastic (PET) waste on Hawassa lake. The target community were unemployed youth and vulnerable population. The major intervention of the project was: provision of skill training on plastic waste management and compost preparation, provision of inputs, facilitating was collection sites, creating market link for the recycled products, and documentation of best practices for knowledge management, the capacity building of solid waste collection association in the target areas. In the project period, PETCO Ethiopia has trained 200 youth of which 74 women, and 126 males were trained on solid waste management, aquatic body cleansing, composting and basic business skills development. In the project period, the SMEs and Community based organization have conducted 31 waste collection campaigns in Hawassa, they have been engaged in routine collection of solid waste in the Hawassa Lake catchment. As a result, a total of 83,571Kg plastic waste was collected, sorted and sold to the recycling companies via the value chain, and supplied to recyclers around Addis Ababa. PETO Ethiopia expanded the awareness creation through media and schools, engage communities to evolve PET bottles collection campaign, consultation and discussion with Religious and social institutions, convened advocacy and policy dialogue with universities, research institutions and solid waste management authorities to enhance awareness, influencing policies and create social responsibility.

Q38

Chemicals and Waste: Please provide quantitative results produced by the chemicals and waste portfolio of completed projects during the reporting period. (Enter only numbers; no letters, commas, spaces, periods or any other symbol.)

Kg of pesticides avoided, reduced, or prevented by SGP chemicals projects	**0**
Kg of solid waste prevented or reduced by chemicals projects (such as plastics, domestic waste, agricultural waste, etc.)	**102450**
Kg of harmful chemicals avoided from utilization or release	**0**
Kg of e-waste collected or recycled	**0**
Kg of mercury avoided, reduced, or sustainably managed	**0**

Q39

Chemicals and Waste: What community-based tools/approaches were deployed or transferred to avoid or reduce chemicals through projects that were completed during the reporting period? (Choose all that apply)

Organic farming,

Solid waste management (reduce, reuse, and recycle),

Awareness raising and capacity development

Q40

Chemicals and Waste: Number of national coalitions/networks on chemicals and waste management established or strengthened through projects that were completed during the reporting period.

Number of national coalitions/networks	**2**

Page 10: Results achieved by GEF-funded projects on Capacity Development (stand-alone projects)

Q41

Capacity Development (stand-alone grant projects): Total number of GEF projects completed. (Enter 0 if none.)

Capacity development, OP6	**0**
Capacity development, OP7	**0**

Q42

Capacity Development (stand-alone grant projects): Please describe the results of up to three projects that were COMPLETED during the reporting period. For results, please reflect on 1- 'what' was achieved, 2- 'how' was it achieved, 'who' was it achieved for and with, and 3- 'where' was it achieved.Please refer to the attached guidance for details. Kindly include SGP database project numbers. (300 words maximum)

Respondent skipped this question

Q43

Capacity Development (stand-alone grant projects in the CD focal area): Please indicate the number of projects completed by type of project. (Enter number only)

Number of projects with knowledge fairs	**0**
Number of projects with stakeholder workshops	**0**
Number of projects supported monitoring and evaluation	**0**
Number of projects supported knowledge management	**0**
Other	**0**

Q44

Capacity Development (stand-alone grant projects in the CD focal area): Please indicate the number of the following whose capacities were developed or improved through projects that were completed during the reporting period (indicate “0” if not applicable)

Number of CSOs	**0**
Number of CBOs	**0**
Number of people whose capacities were improved	**0**

Page 11: Results from Grantmaker+

Q45

Regular Ongoing Capacity Development: In addition to the capacity development grants already mentioned above, please indicate which strategies/non-grant activities your country programme has used during the reporting year to develop the capacity of your key stakeholders. Check all that apply:

Strengthened grantee networks,

Promoted peer-to-peer knowledge exchanges,

Organized training within project grants on specific technical issues

,

Organized training for SGP grantees on different subjects to improve project implementation

,

Connected grantees with NGOs/INGOs,

Connected grantees with the academia or research centers

Q46

CSO-Government Dialogue Platform: Did you conduct any CSO-Government Dialogue activities during the reporting period? Please also include Indigenous Peoples-Government dialogues.

No

Q47

CSO-Government Dialogue Platform: Please provide quantitative results produced during the reporting period. (Enter only numbers; Do not enter letters, commas, spaces, periods or any other symbol.)

Number of dialogue platforms initiated with CSO and/or CBO networks strengthened to manage such dialogues	**0**
Number of CSO/CBO representatives involved in the dialogues	**0**

Q48

South-South Exchange: Did you conduct or help facilitate any South–South exchanges between communities/NGOs/CSOs in your country and those in another country in the south during the reporting year? Note: Please report exchanges involving participants from different countries rather than within a single country.

No

Q49

South-South Exchange: Please provide number of South-South exchanges supported that transfer capacity on new community innovations between communities, CSOs, and other partners across countries.

Number of South-South exchanges	**0**

Page 12: Social Inclusion

Q50

Gender Mainstreaming: Please specify the number of GEF projects completed during the reporting period that included gender considerations (i.e., addressing different needs, skills, and opportunities of both men and women from project design through implementation and M&E). Please enter 0 if none.

Gender mainstreaming, OP6	**0**
Gender mainstreaming, OP7	**23**

Q51

Gender Mainstreaming: Which mechanisms did you use to ensure women's empowerment and gender equality during the reporting period? (Mark all that apply)

Conduct gender analysis/assessment at the country or project level.

,

Incorporated gender specific activities, outputs, outcomes, and disaggregated indicators in project design.

,

Conduct gender mainstreaming training for grantees,

Use the NSC gender check list for the approval of projects

,

Have targets on the proportion of projects to focus on gender issues

Q52

Gender Mainstreaming: Please describe one or two projects that were completed during the reporting period that promoted gender equity and women's empowerment, and please explain the results (examples include behavior change, livelihood, natural resources management, etc). Kindly include SGP database project numbers. (300 words maximum)

Sike Ayo Environmental Protection Women Association (SAEPWA) has implemented a project titled Enhancing Climate Smart Agriculture practices for sustainable development in tededildima kebele East Shoa of Oromia Regional State with the project ID of ETH /SGP/OP7/Y3/STAR/CC/2022/23. The Association was organized in East Shewa Zone Lume District by interested Women's group to empower women via enhancing their livelihoods. The main objective of the Project was to promote climate smart agriculture and engage in income generating activities to improve their livelihoods. All the project activities were planned in a way that ensure women empowering and benefiting. Thus, the project focused on the activities that engage women in various income generating activities such as goat and Sheep fattening, bee keeping, recreation centers as well as conservation of natural resources to improve agricultural productivity that contribute to the improvement of their livelihoods. For the effective implementation of the project capacity building training was provided to 190 women on business model development, natural resource conservation, and various income generating activities. As a result, they could send their children to school, feed their families, and could get health cares at heath centers. Currently, the women Association members have been benefited from the small ruminant fattening, bee keeping and petty trades thereby their livelihoods have been improved. In order to ensure the sustainability of the project achievements, they were provided training in skill development and natural resource management.

Q53

Gender Mainstreaming: How many GEF projects completed were led by women (e.g., had a female project coordinator/manager, led by a woman cooperative or women group)?

Number of projects led by women	**1**

Q54

Indigenous Peoples: Please specify the number of GEF projects completed during the reporting period that included Indigenous Peoples. Please enter 0 if none.

Indigenous Peoples, OP6	**0**
Indigenous Peoples, OP7	**0**

Q55

Indigenous Peoples: Please describe one or two projects completed during the reporting period that benefited Indigenous Peoples and key results. (300 words maximum)

Respondent skipped this question

Q56

Indigenous Peoples: How did you encourage involvement of Indigenous Peoples' groups? (Mark all that apply)

Respondent skipped this question

Q57

Indigenous Peoples: Please provide the number of indigenous leaders with higher capacities for organizing Indigenous Peoples' projects that provide for concrete action to meet their needs as well as for strong representation in policy advocacy.

Number of indigenous leaders with higher capacities	**0**

Q58

Youth: Please specify the number of GEF projects completed during the reporting period that included youth. Please enter 0 if none.For the purpose of this survey, we are identifying youth as all those between 15-35 years of age.

Youth, OP6	**0**
Youth, OP7	**0**

Q59

Youth: Please describe one or two projects completed during the reporting period that included youth and their results (300 words)

Respondent skipped this question

Q60

Persons with Disability (PWD): Please describe any projects that were completed during the reporting period that have paid special attention to Persons with Disability (PWD). (300 words)

Respondent skipped this question

Q61

Youth and Persons with disability: Please provide quantitative results produced for completed GEF projects during the reporting period. (Enter only numbers; no letters, commas, spaces, periods, or any other symbol.)

Number of youth organizations that participated in SGP projects and relevant national environment and sustainable development strategy development	**0**
Number of disabled persons organizations that participated in SGP projects and relevant national environment and sustainable development strategy development	**0**

Page 13: Country Level Impact

Q62

Please report briefly on progress in implementation and country-level results achieved during the last year (highlighting planning, strategic developments, partnerships, portfolio-wide efforts/results which could not be captured earlier). Please enter 0 if none. (200 words)

0

Q63

Please provide the number of completed GEF projects that were replicated, scaled up, or influenced policy during the reporting period (July 1st, 2024 - June 30th, 2025).

Scaling up and Replication	**0**
Policy influence	**0**

Q64

Scaling up and Replication: Please give one or two specific examples of projects scaled up and/or replicated along with key results. Kindly include SGP database project numbers (300 words)

Respondent skipped this question

Q65

Policy Influence: Describe one or two SGP projects completed during the reporting period that influenced policies and how. Please describe specific examples and specify whether the policies influenced were at the national or local level. Kindly include SGP database project numbers. (300 words)

Respondent skipped this question

Q66

Improve livelihoods / Quality of life: Number of GEF projects completed during the reporting period that improved the livelihoods of communities

Number of projects improved livelihoods	**23**

Q67 Improve livelihoods/quality of life: Strategies that were used to improve livelihoods/quality of life by completed projects (Mark all that apply):	**Increased and/or diversification of income,** **Increased access to markets,** **Increased access to finance,** **Improved health or access to health facilities,** **Increased access to education,** **Increased food security and nutritional value,** **Increased access to technology**
Q68 Improve livelihoods / Quality of life: Did any of the projects completed during the reporting period use the following market-based initiatives or financial mechanisms to ensure the sustainability of the project and improve the livelihoods of communities? (Please select all the options that were used by different projects. See AMR Response Guide and FAQ for definitions.)	**Microcredit schemes,** **Revolving funds**

Q69

Please select the Sustainable Development Goals associated with the projects completed during the reporting period. If there are no projects completed during the reporting period, please select those with which your country programme's efforts align during the reporting period. (Mark all that apply)

Goal 2: End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture

,

Goal 5: Achieve gender equality and empower all women and girls

,

Goal 6: Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all

,

Goal 7: Ensure access to affordable, reliable, sustainable and modern energy for all

,

Goal 8: Promote sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work for all

,

Goal 11: Make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable

,

Goal 13: Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts

,

Goal 15: Protect, restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, and halt and reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss

Q70

Communications and Knowledge Products: Please indicate how many communications and knowledge products you have produced during the reporting period by category. Note: Please do not provide the number of copies printed for each publication, but the number of different knowledge products produced.

Number of fact sheets/case studies	**1**
Number of brochures	**1000**
Number of publications	**0**
Number of videos/photo-stories	**0**
Number of SGP mentions in the media	**6**
Number of how-to toolkits or guidelines that describe specific practices	**0**

Q71

Communications and Knowledge Products: Please list these communications and knowledge products and include hyperlinks where possible

Respondent skipped this question

Q72

Communications and Knowledge Products: Please indicate how many peer-to-peer knowledge exchanges and training were carried out as part of the projects that were completed during the reporting year. (Please do not include the ones provided under stand-alone CD projects and South-South Exchange.)

Number of peer-to-peer exchanges conducted	**3**
Number of training conducted	**2**
Please specify topics of training/peer-to-peer exchanges	**community led natural resource management**

Q73

Communications and Knowledge Products: Please list any awards received during the reporting period and include links to press articles or announcements.

Name of Award 1	**0**
Month, Year	**0**
Grantee organization or project leader that received the award	**0**
Main Focal Area of project	**0**
Project number (as in database)	**0**
Link to press articles or announcements	**0**
Name of Award 2	**0**
Month, Year	**0**
Grantee organization or project leader that received the award	**0**
Main Focal Area of project	**0**
Project number (as in database)	**0**
Link to press articles or announcements	**0**
Name of Award 3	**0**
Month, Year	**0**
Grantee organization or project leader that received the award	**0**
Main Focal Area of project	**0**
Project number (as in database)	**0**
Link to press articles or announcements	**0**
Name of Award 4	**0**
Month, Year	**0**
Grantee organization or project leader that received the award	**0**
Main Focal Area of project	**0**
Project number (as in database)	**0**
Link to press articles or announcements	**0**

Page 14: SGP Effectiveness

Q74

Please rank the top three challenges encountered by your country programme during the reporting period (1 = most significant issue).

	Operational Challenges
1 First challenge	**Country political situation**
2 Second challenge	**Weak government and civil society relationships**
3 Third challenge	**Absorption capacity**

Q75

Following up on the previous question, please describe the biggest challenge identified above that was encountered by the country programme during the reporting period and give examples. What solutions, if any, were applied to address the challenges? (300 words)

The political instability and conflicts have been occurring in some parts of the country affected the timely monitoring and effective implementation of the projects

Q76

Please rank the top three challenges encountered by the projects completed this year

	Operational Challenges
First challenge	**Support linkages with government authorities**
Second challenge	**Knowledge Management skills**
Third challenge	**Leadership of grantee organization**

Q77

How many projects were terminated before completion during the reporting period? If none, please enter "0".

Number of projects terminated	**0**

Q78

Respondent skipped this question

Following up on the previous question, please explain the reason of project termination.

Q79

Please identify any future risks that you foresee in achieving the results planned from the SGP portfolio in your country and indicate any mitigative measures that you are taking or would suggest. (300 words)

0

Q80

Please list any recommendations that you may have in improving the effectiveness of the SGP programme in your country. (200 words)

The recommendation is Improving the CSO to Government sectors relationship and enhancing the capacity of the CBOs through training, experience sharing

Page 15: SGP Effectiveness

Q81 **No**

Were there any evaluations of SGP conducted at the country level during the reporting period?

Q82

NSC: Please indicate if during the reporting period you had the following focal points in your NSC

	Please select
Gender Focal Point	**Yes**
Youth Focal Point	**Yes**
Indigenous Peoples focal point	**No**

Q83

NSC: This question is related to the National Steering Committee (NSC) meetings and participation. Please enter only numbers; no letters, commas, spaces, periods or any other symbol.

Number of NSC meetings occurred during the reporting period	**5**
Average number of NSC members that participated in each NSC meeting	**8**
Average number of days volunteered per NSC member during the reporting period	**2**
Number of NSC members that stepped down during the reporting period	**0**
Number of NSC members that were appointed during the reporting period	**0**
Average number of days needed to replace an NSC member	**30**

Q84

Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E): Please provide quantitative results below.

Number of M&E visits (i.e., total number of field trips) that were made during the reporting period:	**10**
Number of projects that were visited during the reporting period:	**25**

Q85

What percentage of the completed GEF projects delivered the intended results and were satisfactory?

80 - 100%

Q86

What was the average number of weeks between NSC project approval and MoA signature during the reporting period?

4 weeks

Q87

What was the main challenge encountered in the process of MoA signature during the reporting period?

Signature of MoA from respective authorities

Page 16: Innovation

Q88

Innovation Programme: Were there projects implemented under any of the innovation programmes during the reporting period?

Yes

Q89

Innovation Programme: If "Yes" to the previous question, please select the innovation programme. Please check all that apply.

Mountain Partnership

Q90

Innovation: How many of the completed GEF projects during the reporting period (July 1, 2024 - June 30, 2025) would you consider innovative?Guidance tip: Please note a project is considered innovative if there is any of the following: · New way of thinking: Did the intervention view the existing environmental problem in a new way? This would usually involve a new way of thinking about the issue, and conceptualization of a solution.· New way to connect: Did the project use available community resources in a new way? This would usually involve a new way of organizing these resources- which include community members, tangible and intangible assets, and leveraging traditional knowledge and norms.· Novel improvement: Did the intervention improve existing local solution in terms of its design, cost or performance, yielding same or higher impact? This would usually involve an improved feature for an existing product or service, and/ or an improved process or operation for an existing model of delivery. This can also include an improvement and/or creative application of technology, business model or financial solution from one sector to another.· Original creation: Did the intervention create something truly new? This would often involve expanding options for community members to promote global environmental benefits and livelihood alternatives. It may have a disruptive nature to existing solutions for a given problem, and will have a transformational characteristic through increased convenience, affordability or accessibility. This would usually involve a new product or service, and/ or a new model of delivery. This can also include a brand-new development of a technology, a never conceptualized business model or financing arrangement.· Powering local innovators: Did the intervention support a local champion(s) to pilot, test and improve on her/his conception, and result in strengthening of their capacities and influence in a given setting? This would usually involve supporting a community visionary or entrepreneurial venture addressing intersections of local environment and livelihood issues. This can also include expansion of community level agency for innovative action.

Respondent skipped this question

Q91

Innovation: Please describe the results of one or two GEF projects completed during the reporting period that you consider innovative or implemented under innovation programmes. Please also share any feedback on the strength, challenges, and benefits of being part of the innovation programme. Kindly include SGP database project numbers. (300 words.)

Respondent skipped this question